

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/574,132 | 03/30/2006 | Wilhelmus Christianus Maria Lohbeck | TS6315US | 7891 |
| 23632 SHELL OIL C | 7590 08/31/201 OMPANY | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 2463 | 1 | KEE, FANNIE C | | |
| HOUSTON, T | X 772522463 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3679 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/31/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| 10/574,132 | LOHBECK, WILHELMUS CHRISTIANUS MARIA | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Fannie Kee | 3679 | | |

| Before the 1 ming of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | 1 | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Fannie Kee | 3679 | I | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period as under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee to action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since a | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | E below); | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying ti | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d | corresponding number of finally rais | oted claims | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | cted claims. | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant Americanient (| 1 OL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the provided of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | cplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | And the last and a vector of | The second second | 2475000 | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NO+ place the application in | condition for allowan | se because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | AADON DUNWOODV | , | | | | |

/AARON DUNWOODY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues raised: 1.) wherein the expandable tubular element shortens as a result of radial expansion thereof; and 2.) such that the distance between the first and second portions changes during radial expansion of the tubular element between the first and second portions.